

No. ID/FD/71/82/27004.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Parshad and the management of M/s. D.D. Forgings Pvt. Ltd., 18/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services/dismissal of Shri Ram Parshad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/123/81/27011.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Om Parkash and the management of M/s B. K. Ghai & Company Pvt. Ltd., 45 Industrial Estate, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Parkash was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/31/82/27025.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hari Nayan Yadav and the management of the M/s. Envexs Electronics, Plant-I, 13A, Industrial Estate, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated the 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whereas the termination of services of Shri Hari Nayan Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 16th June, 1982

No. ID/AMB/16/82/27390.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ramesh Chander and the management of M/s. Dairy Development Co-operative Federation Ltd., Milk Plant, Ambala City regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:—

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ramesh Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?